

My apologies for the hurried discussion today. Let me return, in a note, to the point about vorticity. From the equations of motion, you have:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \underline{v} \cdot \nabla\right) \underline{v} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \underline{v} - \underline{v} \times (\nabla \times \underline{v})$$

in general. For an ideal fluid, for streamlines, you normally assume $\nabla \times \underline{v} = \underline{\omega} = 0$. But what if it isn't? Then:

$$\nabla \times \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \underline{v} \cdot \nabla \right) \underline{v} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \underline{\omega} - \nabla \times \underline{v} \times \underline{\omega} = -\nabla \times \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P \right) + \nu \nabla^2 \underline{\omega} + \nabla \times \underline{g}$$

From $\nabla \times (\delta \nabla g) = \nabla f \times \nabla g$, if $P \neq P(\rho)$ (isobaric surfaces corresponding to isodensity - or isothermal surfaces):

$$\nabla \times \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right) \nabla P = \left(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \right) \times (\nabla P),$$

which you'll notice acts like an acceleration for the vorticity. Notice also that a term that resembles the Lorentz force appears, as I'd mentioned in earlier notes. If we assume only slow motions, then we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \underline{\omega} = \nu \nabla^2 \underline{\omega} \quad \text{for barotropic cases,}$$

a diffusion equation in which the fluid motion decreases over a time of order ν^{-1} . But if not, slow and if the medium is baroclinic, both $\underline{v} \times \underline{\omega}$ and $\nabla \rho \times \nabla P$ will drive circulation. Now go to a rotating frame:

$$\underline{\tilde{v}} \rightarrow \underline{v} + \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{r} = \underline{v} + \Omega \hat{k} \times \underline{r} \quad \leftarrow \text{(Coriolis term)}$$

where $\hat{k}$ is perpendicular to the plane of rotation. Then $\underline{a} = \underline{g} + 2\underline{\Omega} \times \underline{v}$ and $\nabla \times (\underline{\Omega} \times \underline{r})$ is no longer zero, even though $\nabla \times \underline{g} = 0$. Thus you have an absolute vorticity even if the motion vanishes and a source to drive instabilities (KH and other vortical modes).

Further, any vertical motion on a sphere will drive circulation, so also radial motion in a dish will lead to spirals and vortices! I'll suggest you should think about the Toomre criterion, for instance, in a self-gravitating disk or the Rayleigh-Taylor instability in a rotating system, just for fun.

One more note: Every method we've developed for MHD can be used for $\underline{\omega}$ and vice versa. The principle difference comes from defining the quantity:

$$\Gamma = \oint \underline{v} \cdot d\underline{\ell}, \text{ the circulation}$$

and the evolution of another quantity:

$$\mathcal{H} = \int \underline{v} \cdot \underline{\omega} d\underline{x}, \text{ the helicity.}$$

The analogs in magnetism are:

$$\Phi = \int \underline{A} \cdot d\underline{\ell} = \int \underline{B} \cdot d\underline{\Sigma}, \text{ flux} \quad \underline{B} = \nabla \times \underline{A}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_M = \int \underline{A} \cdot \underline{B} d\underline{x},$$

Fields that minimize both the energy and helicity are (for $\underline{B}$) the special cases when $\underline{J} = \nabla \times \underline{B}$ gives $\underline{J} \times \underline{B} = 0 \rightarrow (\nabla \times \underline{B}) \times \underline{B} = 0$ so $\nabla \times \underline{B} = \alpha \underline{B}$ with $\alpha = \text{scalar function}$. Similarly, for $\underline{v} \times \underline{\omega} = 0$ we have $\nabla \times \underline{v} = \alpha_v \underline{v}$. The first is a force-free field (the result is Woltjer's (1956) Theorem) but the latter is not as simple to understand! This is mainly because $\underline{A}$ is a potential but $\underline{v}$ is not! The field $\underline{A}$ has an evolution equation that depends on $\underline{v}$:

$$\partial_t \underline{B} = \nabla \times (\underline{v} \times \underline{B}) + (\text{other terms})$$

$$\rightarrow \partial_t \underline{A} = \underline{v} \times (\nabla \times \underline{B}) + (\text{still other terms})$$

but $\partial_t \underline{\omega} \rightarrow \nabla \times (\partial_t \underline{v})$ just returns us to the equations of motion, and this is almost circular,

With apologies for the brevity of the digression this morning, now I should provide a more complete treatment of the dispersion effects in a magnetized plasma. The equations of the problem assume an incident $\underline{E}$ and $\underline{B}$ field (both functions of time) and $\underline{B}_0 = B_0 \hat{b}$. Take

$$\begin{pmatrix} \underline{E}(t) \\ \underline{B}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{E} \\ \underline{B} \end{pmatrix} e^{i(\omega t - \underline{k} \cdot \underline{x})}$$

and assume these are perturbations - that the electrons are initially at rest. Then:

$$m_e n_e \dot{\underline{v}} = -en_e \underline{E} - \frac{e}{c} n_e \underline{v} \times \underline{B}_0$$

$$-\frac{1}{c} \partial_t \underline{B} = \nabla \times \underline{E} \rightarrow -\frac{i\omega}{c} \underline{B} = -i \underline{k} \times \underline{E}$$

$$\frac{1}{c} \partial_t \underline{E} = \nabla \times \underline{B} - \frac{4\pi}{c} \underline{J} \rightarrow \frac{i\omega}{c} \underline{E} = -i \underline{k} \times \underline{B} + \frac{4\pi}{c} en_e \underline{v} e^{-i(\omega t - \underline{k} \cdot \underline{x})}$$

$$\underline{J} = -en_e \underline{v};$$

with the linearisation, $\dot{\underline{v}} = i\omega \underline{v}$. Then if $\underline{v} = \underline{u} e^{i(\omega t - \underline{k} \cdot \underline{x})}$

$$i\omega m_e n_e \underline{u} = -en_e \underline{E} - \frac{e}{c} n_e \underline{u} \times \hat{b} B_0$$

$$\rightarrow i\omega \underline{u} = -\underline{E} \left(\frac{e}{m} \right) - \omega_B \underline{u} \times \hat{b}, \quad \omega_B = \frac{eB_0}{m_e c}$$

$$\frac{i\omega}{c} \underline{E} = -i \underline{k} \times \underline{B} + \frac{4\pi}{c} en_e \underline{u}$$

$$\frac{i\omega}{c} \underline{B} = i \underline{k} \times \underline{E} \therefore \underline{k} \times (\underline{k} \times \underline{B}) = \frac{c}{\omega} \underline{k} \times (\underline{k} \times \underline{E})$$

Then:

$$\frac{i\omega}{c} \underline{E} = -i \underline{k} \times (\underline{k} \times \underline{E}) \frac{c}{\omega} + \frac{4\pi en_e}{c} \underline{u}$$

and:

$$\underline{E} \frac{i\omega^2}{c^2} = \left[-i \underline{k} (\underline{k} \cdot \underline{E}) + i \underline{k}^2 \underline{E} \right] + \frac{4\pi n_e e}{c} \underline{u} \frac{\omega}{c}$$

You'll recognize an old friend, the plasma frequency:

$$\omega_p^2 = \frac{4\pi n_e e^2}{m_e}$$

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \left[\underline{E} (\omega^2 - \underline{k}^2 c^2) + \underline{k} (\underline{k} \cdot \underline{E}) \right] = -\frac{4\pi en_e e}{c^2} \underline{u} \omega$$

Now take $\underline{k} \cdot \underline{E} = 0$, no longitudinal $\underline{E}$ propagation, so that:

$$\underline{E} = -D^{-2} 4\pi n_e e i \underline{u} \omega, \quad D^{-2} \equiv (\omega^2 - \underline{k}^2 c^2)$$

and thus:

$$i\omega \underline{u} = \omega \frac{\omega_p^2}{D^2} i \underline{u} - \omega_B \underline{u} \times \hat{b},$$

$$i\omega \underline{u} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{D^2} \right\} = -\omega_B \underline{u} \times \hat{b} \rightarrow \hat{u} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{D^2} \right) = i \frac{\omega_B}{\omega} \hat{u} \times \hat{b},$$

which is the equation for a harmonic motion using $\underline{u} = e^{i\omega t} \hat{u}$. Then,

$$\hat{u} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{D^2} \right) \hat{u}_1 = -i \frac{\omega_B}{\omega} \hat{u}_2$$

$$i \left\{ \hat{u} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{D^2} \right) \hat{u}_2 \right\} = i \left\{ +i \frac{\omega_B}{\omega} \hat{u}_1 \right\}$$

$$\hat{u} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{D^2} \right) (\hat{u}_1 + i \hat{u}_2) = i \frac{\omega_B}{\omega} (\hat{u}_1 + i \hat{u}_2)$$

$$\left[1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{D^2} \right] = i \frac{\omega_B}{\omega}$$

The plasma dispersion relation since $D^2 = \omega^2 - \underline{k}^2 c^2$.

The electrons have an intrinsic helicity in their motion around $\hat{b}$, the coordinate system I've chosen for the description of their motion: they execute a spiral with some pitch angle with respect to $\hat{b}$, depending on $\hat{k} \times \hat{b}$. This means one sense of polarization, right circular, is resonant at $\omega = \omega_B$ while the other, left circular, is not. So the medium displays birefringence - there's a lag of RCP relative to LCP and the plane of an elliptically polarized wave precesses around $\hat{b}$ over propagation distance. Since $k = \frac{n\omega}{c}$, where n is the refractive index, we have:

$$\Delta\phi \sim \lambda^2 / n_e B_0 \cdot d\ell, \quad d\ell = \hat{k} \cdot d\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \lambda^2 / n_e B_0 \hat{k} \cdot \hat{b} d\ell$$

is the angle of this rotation (you'll find this yourselves, note $\frac{\omega}{2\pi}\lambda = c$).

OK, what does this imply? The angle $\Delta\phi$ of the rotation is called the Faraday measure and this is the Faraday effect; for scaling:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_B &\sim 20 \text{ MHz } G^{-1} \\ \omega_p &\sim 20 \text{ kHz } n_e^{1/2}\end{aligned}$$

so in general, $n_e B_0 \sim \omega_p^2 \omega_B$ is a small number relative to ω^3 ; but at $\lambda \sim (\text{a few cm})$ ($\sim \text{GHz}$) this ratio is compensated by the very long distances encountered in the ISM.

Notice that this rotation depends only on n_e and B_0 , not on T . It's therefore strictly independent of temperature (hence, nonthermal) but depends on $\langle \hat{k} \cdot \hat{b} \rangle$, the average angle of propagation. That's why I said in class that if B_0 is turbulent, it's possible to have $\Delta\phi = 0$ even if $|B_0| \neq 0$.

NB: Any anisotropic medium will produce some polarization, especially from scattering, and the propagation will also be affected by systematics in the anisotropy (for example, dust scattering produces unpolarization, but rotation of the plane of polarization results as well from any systematics in the orientation of the grains).